arXiv:1410.0225v2 [math.PR] 12 May 2015

On a general approach to Freidlin-Wentzell exit problems for stochastic equations in Banach spaces

Michael Salins

Department of Mathematics
University of Maryland
College Park
Maryland, USA

Abstract

Freidlin and Wentzell characterized the logarithmic asymptotics of the exit time from a basin of attraction for a finite dimensional diffusion with small noise. After that, several authors studied the same properties for exit problems associated to specific infinite dimensional systems. In this paper, we present a general method, based a control theoretic approach, to establish exit time and exit place results for a large class of stochastic equations in Banach spaces.

1 Introduction

In [12], Freidlin and Wentzell characterize the exit time and exit place asymptotics from a basin of attraction for stochastic differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} dX_x^\epsilon(t) = f(X_x^\epsilon(t))dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}\sigma(X_x^\epsilon(t))dW(t), \\ \\ X_x^\epsilon(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

They investigate the asymptotics of the exit time,

$$\tau_x^\epsilon = \inf\{t > 0 : X_x^\epsilon(t) \notin G\},$$

where $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open set such that the unperturbed system, X_x^0, is uniformly attracted to one asymptotically stable equilibrium point $a \in G$, without leaving G. Because the unperturbed system never leaves G, τ_x^ϵ will diverge as $\epsilon \to 0$. More specifically, using the theory of large deviations, it can be shown that this divergence is of exponential type and that for any $x \in G$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{E}\tau_x^\epsilon = \inf_{y \in \partial G} V(y), \tag{1.2}$$

where $V(y)$ is a non-negative function called the quasipotential. Additionally, if there is a unique $y_0 \in \partial G$ such that $V(y_0) = \inf_{y \in \partial G} V(y)$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} X_x^\epsilon(\tau_x^\epsilon) = y_0 \text{ in probability.}$$

In other words, X_x^ϵ exits G near y_0 with overwhelming probability.

In this paper, we deal with the following class of stochastic equations in a Banach space E,

$$\begin{cases} dX_x^\epsilon(t) = (AX_x^\epsilon(t) + F(X_x^\epsilon(t)))dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}B(X_x^\epsilon(t))dw(t), \\ \\ X_x^\epsilon(0) = x \in E. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

and we establish analogous exit time and exit place results. In the above equation, A is the generator of a C_0 semigroup, and $F : E \to E$ is a dissipative nonlinear mapping. Typically E would be a function space, for example, an L^p space, the space of continuous functions, or a space of Hölder-continuous functions, and A would be the realization of some linear differential operator.

The exit time asymptotics have previously been characterized for a variety of infinite dimensional equations including stochastic reaction diffusion equations [4, 3, 7, 11], stochastic damped Schrödinger equations [13], stochastic semilinear wave equations [6], and stochastic Navier-Stokes equations [1]. In each of these papers a proof of the exit time has been given, taking into account the specific structure of the underlying equation. Our aim in the current paper is to introduce a unified approach to study the exit problem for solutions of abstract stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces that can apply to a wide variety of problems.

For a bounded open set $G \subset E$ that contains 0, we study the exit times

$$\tau_x^\epsilon = \inf\{t > 0 : X_x^\epsilon(t) \notin G\}.$$

We show that $\{X_x^\epsilon\}_{\{\epsilon>0\}}$ satisfies a large deviations principle on the space $C([0,T];E)$ with respect to the rate function $I_{0,T}$ given by

$$I_{0,T}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\inf\left\{|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} : \varphi = X_x^\psi\right\} \tag{1.4}$$

where X_x^ψ is the unique mild solution to the deterministic control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}X_x^\psi(t) = AX_x^\psi(t) + F(X_x^\psi(t)) + B(X_x^\psi(t))\psi(t), \\ \\ X_x^\psi(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

and H is some Hilbert space on which the noise $w(t)$ is defined. Importantly, we prove that this large deviation principle is uniform for initial conditions $|x|_E \leq R$, for each $R > 0$. We recall the definition of a uniform large deviations principle.

Definition 1.1. *The family $\{X_x^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ in $C([0,T];E)$ satisfies a large deviations principle with speed ϵ and rate function $I_{0,T}$ uniform with respect to initial conditions $|x|_E \leq R$, if for all $R > 0$,*

i. For any $\psi \in L^2([0,T];H)$, $\delta > 0$,

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\inf_{|x|_E\leq R} \mathbb{P}\left|X_x^\epsilon - X_x^\psi\right| < \delta\right) \geq -\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)}. \tag{1.5}$$

ii. For any $r > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{|x|_E \le R} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(X_x^\epsilon, K_{0,T}^x(r)) > \delta \right) \right) \le -r \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$K_{0,T}^x(r) = \left\{ X_x^\psi \in C([0,T];E) : \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} \le r \right\}.$$

Because E is infinite dimensional, uniformity on bounded sets of initial conditions is a stronger requirement than uniformity for $x \in K$ where K is a compact subset of E (see for example [2, 10]). The set $\{x \in E : |x|_E \le R\}$ is not compact if E is infinite dimensional, but we still are able to prove a uniform large deviation principle.

Da Prato and Zabczyk [9, 14] characterized the exit problem for Hilbert space valued stochastic equations with dissipative nonlinearities and additive noise. They prove that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{E}\tau_x^\epsilon \le \bar{e}(\bar{G}^c) \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{E}\tau_x^\epsilon \ge \underline{e}(\partial G), \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\bar{e}(\bar{G}^c) = \inf\{I_{0,T}(\varphi) : \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(T) \in \bar{G}^c, T > 0\},$$

$$e_r(\partial G) = \inf\{I_{0,T}(\varphi) : |\varphi(0)|_E = r, \varphi(T) \in \partial G, T > 0\},$$

$$\underline{e}(\partial G) = \lim_{r\to 0} e_r(\partial G).$$

It is immediate from these definitions that $\underline{e}(\partial G) \le \bar{e}(\bar{G}^c)$. In the finite dimensional case (such as [12]) one can show that $\underline{e}(\partial G) = \bar{e}(\bar{G}^c)$. In fact, Freidlin and Wentzell demonstrate that in this case, the function

$$e(x,y) = \inf\{I_{0,T}(\varphi) : \varphi(0) = x, \varphi(T) = y, T > 0\}$$

is actually continuous in x and y. In the infinite dimensional case, however, one cannot expect such continuity because $e(x,y) = +\infty$ on a dense subset of $E \times E$. Despite this difficulty, in the current paper we show that $\underline{e}(\partial G) = \bar{e}(\bar{G}^c)$ for a large class of general Banach space valued problems. Such an equality guarantees that no gap exists between (1.8) and (1.7).

To prove this equality, we define $I_{-\infty,0}$ as an extension of the rate functions $I_{0,T}$ to the space $C((-\infty,0);E)$. This extension is possible because (1.3) is time homogeneous. We then define the quasipotential for any $N \subset E$ as

$$V(N) = \inf\{I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) : \lim_{t\to-\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0, \varphi(0) = N\}.$$

The quasipotential satisfies

$$\underline{e}(\partial G) \le V(\partial G) \le \bar{e}(\bar{G}^c).$$

We will show that the above quantities are actually equal if the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$ are compact in the topology of uniform converge on bounded intervals, the map $x \in E \mapsto X_x^{\psi}(t) \in E$ is continuous uniformly in time, and the set G satisfies the regularity assumption

$$V(\partial G) = V(\bar{G}^c).$$

We show that these assumptions are satisfied in unexpected generality. First, in section 3 we study the case where (1.3) is linear and has additive noise. That is, $F(x) \equiv 0$ and $B(x) \equiv Q$ a linear operator. Using functional analytic arguments, we show that the compactness of the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$ is actually a consequence of the existence of E-valued mild solutions to (1.3). In section 4, we show that if the noise is additive and the the nonlinearity F satisfies a certain dissipativity assumption, then the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$ are still compact. The situation is significantly more complicated in the multiplicative noise case, but in section 5, we provide some sufficient conditions that guarantee that the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$ are still compact.

Once we establish the compactness of the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$, we show that τ_x^{ϵ} diverges exponentially as $\epsilon \to 0$. Specifically, we prove that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{E}\tau_x^{\epsilon} = V(\partial G), \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \tau_x^{\epsilon} = V(\partial G) \text{ in probability.} \tag{1.10}$$

We also prove that X_x^{ϵ} is likely to exit G near the points that minimize V on the boundary of G. This means that if $N \subset \partial G$ is closed and

$$V(N) > V(\partial G),$$

then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^{\epsilon}(\tau_x^{\epsilon}) \in N\right) = 0.$$

In particular, if there exists a unique $y^* \in \partial G$ such that

$$V(y^*) = \inf_{x \in \partial G} V(x),$$

then by setting $N = \{y \in \partial G : |y - y^*|_E > \delta\}$, for any δ, we can show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} X_x^{\epsilon}(\tau_x^{\epsilon}) = y^* \text{ in probability.}$$

Our proofs of the exit time and exit place results are largely based on the proofs of [10, Chapter 5], but important and nontrivial modifications have to be introduced to allow us to deal with the infinite dimensionality of the problem. Notice that because G is open and infinite dimensional, it is never compact. The famous proofs of the exit time results for finite dimensional systems ([10, 12]) took advantage of the fact that bounded sets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ are compact. In the current paper, we show that the compactness of G is unnecessary because the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$ are compact. Because of this, our results extend the results of Chenal and Millet [7], where they studied the exit time of the stochastic heat equation from bounded subsets of the Hölder space $E = C^{\alpha}([0,1])$ for $\alpha > 0$. The results of this paper allow us characterize the exit time and exit place from bounded subsets of the space of continuous functions $E = C([0,1])$.

In Section 2, we introduce our notations and we identify our hypotheses. In sections 3, 4, and 5, we prove that the level sets of $I_{-\infty,0}$ are compact. Finally, in section 6 we prove the exit time and exit place results.

2 Assumptions and Preliminaries

Let $(E, |\cdot|_E)$ be a Banach space. We denote by $E^\star$ the dual space of E and use the notation $\langle x, x^\star \rangle_{E,E^\star}$ to represent the duality. For any $T > 0$ we denote by $C([0,T];E)$ the Banach space of continuous functions from $[0,T]$ to E endowed with the norm

$$|\varphi|_{C([0,T];E)} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\varphi(t)|_E.$$

We denote by $C((-\infty,0];E)$ the metric space of continuous functions from $(-\infty,0]$ to E endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $(-\infty,0]$. Recall that this is a metric space under the metric

$$\rho(\varphi,\psi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left(\sup_{t\in[-n,-n+1]} |\varphi(t) - \psi(t)|_E \right).$$

For any set $G \subset E$, the complement of G is denoted as $G^c = E \setminus G$ and the closure of G is denoted as $\bar{G}$.

Let H be a Hilbert space of square integrable functions endowed with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and norm $|\cdot|_H$. For any $-\infty \le t_1 < t_2 \le +\infty$ we denote by $L^2((t_1,t_2);H)$ the Hilbert space endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{L^2((t_1,t_2);H)} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \psi(s), \phi(s) \rangle_H \, ds.$$

We study the following equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_x^\epsilon(t) = (AX_x^\epsilon(t) + F(X_x^\epsilon(t)))dt + \sqrt{\epsilon} B(X_x^\epsilon(t))dw(t), \\ X_x^\epsilon(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq E \to E$ is the generator of a C_0 semigroup $S(t)$, $F : E \to E$ is a nonlinear mapping and B maps E into a space of linear mappings that are not necessarily bounded, but have the property that for any $t > 0$ and $x \in E$, $S(t)B(x) \in \mathcal{L}(H,E)$. In the above equation, $w(t)$ is a cylindrical Wiener process on some Hilbert space H. This means that formally

$$w(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(t) e_k, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{\beta_k\}$ is a family of independent one-dimensional Brownian motions on some stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$, and $\{e_k\}$ is a complete orthonormal system of H.

Definition 2.1 (Mild solution)**.** *An adapted process $X_x^\epsilon \in C([0,T];E)$ is called a mild solution for* (2.1) *if for $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$X_x^\epsilon(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_x^\epsilon(s))ds + \sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^t S(t-s)B(X_x^\epsilon(s))dw(s). \tag{2.3}$$

We also introduce the deterministic control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}X_x^\psi(t) = AX_x^\psi(t) + F(X_x^\psi(t)) + B(X_x^\psi(t))psi(t), \\ \\ X_x^\psi(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

and its mild solution

$$X_x^\psi(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_x^\psi(s))ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)B(X_x^\psi(s))\psi(s)ds. \tag{2.5}$$

3 Linear equation with additive noise

We now consider the case of a linear stochastic equation with additive noise

$$\begin{cases} dX_x^\epsilon(t) = AX_x^\epsilon(t)dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}Qdw(t), \\ \\ X_x^\epsilon(0) = x \in E. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Hypothesis 1. *The operator $A : D(A) \subset E \to E$ is the generator of a C_0 semigroup $S(t)$ of negative type. That is, there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ such that $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(E)} \leq Me^{-\omega t}$.*

Hypothesis 1 guarantees that the unperturbed equation X_x^0 has a globally asymptotic stable equilibrium at 0. The mild solution to (3.1) is given by

$$X_x^\epsilon(t) = S(t)x + \sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^t S(t-s)Qdw(s) =: S(t)x + \sqrt{\epsilon}Z(t). \tag{3.2}$$

We call $Z(t)$ the stochastic convolution.

Hypothesis 2. *Q is a linear operator with domain H. For any $t > 0$, $S(t)Q \in \mathcal{L}(H, E)$. Furthermore, for any $t > 0$, the stochastic convolution $Z(t)$ is an E-valued Gaussian random variable.*

Proposition 3.1. *If E is a Hilbert space and for any $T > 0$, and any complete orthonormal system $\{e_k\}$ of H,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^T |S(t)Qe_k|_E^2 \, dt < +\infty, \tag{3.3}$$

then Hypothesis 2 is satisfied.

Proof. We will show that under this assumption $\mathbb{E}|Z(t)|_E^2 < +\infty$. We assumed that E was a Hilbert space, let $\{f_j\}$ be a complete orthonormal basis of E. Then for any j,

$$\langle Z(t), f_j \rangle_E = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^t \langle S(t-s)Qe_k, f_j \rangle_E \, d\beta_k(s)$$

which is a real-valued Gaussian random variable with variance

$$\mathbb{E}\langle Z(t), f_j \rangle_E^2 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^t \langle S(t-s)Qe_k, f_j \rangle^2 \, ds.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}|Z(t)|_E^2 = \mathbb{E}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\langle Z(t), f_j\rangle_E^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_0^t |S(t-s)Qe_k|_E^2 ds < +\infty$$

and we conclude that $Z(t)$ is E-valued. □

If E is not a Hilbert space, sufficient conditions for satisfying Hypothesis 2 are not so obvious. In the next example we show that Hypothesis 2 is satisfied for a linear stochastic heat equation in one spatial dimension.

Example 3.2 (Stochastic heat equation in one spatial dimension)**.** *Consider the following linear stochastic heat equation for $\xi \in [0,\pi]$ and $t \in [0,+\infty]$,*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\xi,t) = \dfrac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi,t) + \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial t}(t,\xi) \\ \\ u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0,\ u(0,\xi) = u_0(\xi). \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Let $E = C_0([0,\pi])$, the space of continuous real-valued functions from $[0,\pi]$ with boundary condtions $f(0) = f(\pi) = 0$ for all $f \in E$, and let $H = L^2([0,\pi])$, the space of square integrable functions. The operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2}$ generates a C_0 semigroup $S(t)$ called the heat semigroup on E. The spectrum of the operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2}$ is the set $\{-k^2 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and there exists a sequence of eigenfunctions

$$e_k(\xi) := \frac{2}{\pi}\sin(k\xi),\ \frac{\partial^2 e_k}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi) = -k^2 e_k(\xi).$$

It follows that for any $t \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S(t)e_k = e^{-k^2 t}e_k$$

These eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal system in H. The space-time white noise $\partial w/\partial t$ can be represented as the formal sum

$$\partial w(\xi,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(\xi)d\beta_k(t).$$

The solution to (3.4) *is*

$$u(\xi,t) = S(t)u_0(\xi) + \int_0^t S(t-s)dw(s)(\xi).$$

We can show that $u(\xi,t) \in E$ with probability 1 by following the arguments in [9][Section 5.5].

Remark 3.3. *We notice that in the previous example, $Q = I$ and that the identity is not a continuous bounded operator from H to E. However, because of the regularizing properties of the heat equation semigroup, $S(t) \in \mathcal{L}(H,E)$ for all $t > 0$.*

In what follows, we will show that whenever Hypothesis 2 is satisfied, the operator $L\psi = \int_0^\infty S(s)Q\psi(s)ds$ is a compact operator.

Because the stochastic convolution Z is Gaussian, it is known (see [9, Theorems 12.4,12.5]) that $\{\sqrt{\epsilon}Z\}_{\epsilon>0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle with respect to the rate function

$$\tilde{I}_{0,T}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\inf\{|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} : \varphi(t) = Z^\psi(t)\}$$

where

$$Z^\psi(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds.$$

We use the standard convention that $\inf\emptyset = +\infty$. Then the following results holds.

Proposition 3.4. *Under Hypotheses 1 and 2,*

i. For any $\psi \in L^2([0,T];H)$, and $\delta > 0$,

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\mathbb{P}\left|\sqrt{\epsilon}Z - Z^\psi\right|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta\right) \geq -\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)}. \tag{3.5}$$

ii. For any $r > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(\sqrt{\epsilon}Z, \tilde{K}_{0,T}(r)) > \delta\right)\right) \leq -r \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_{0,T}(r) = \{Z^\psi \in C([0,T];E) : \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} \leq r\}.$$

From this LDP for the stochastic convolution we can immediately derive a uniform LDP for (3.1). Let X_x^ψ solve the deterministic control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}X_x^\psi(t) = AX_x^\psi(t) + Q\psi(t) \\ \\ X_x^\psi(0) = x. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

That is

$$X_x^\psi(t) = S(t)x + Z^\psi(t).$$

Let $I_{0,T} : C([0,T];E) \to [0,+\infty]$ be given by

$$I_{0,T}(\varphi) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2((0,T);H)} : \varphi = X_x^\psi\right\}.$$

Proposition 3.5. *Under Hypothesis 1 and 2, the family $\{X_x^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ satisfies a uniform LDP with respect to $I_{0,T}$. That is,*

i. For any $\psi \in L^2([0,T];H)$, $\delta > 0$,

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\inf_{x\in E}\mathbb{P}\left|X_x^\epsilon - X_x^\psi\right|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta\right) \geq -\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)}. \tag{3.8}$$

ii. For any $r > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x \in E} \mathbb{P} \left(\text{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(X_x^\epsilon, K_{0,T}^x(r)) > \delta \right) \right) \leq -r \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$K_{0,T}^x(r) = \{X_x^\psi \in C([0,T];E) : \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} \leq r\}.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that $X_x^\epsilon(t) = S(t)x + \sqrt{\epsilon}Z(t)$ and $X_x^\psi(t) = S(t)x + Z^\psi(t)$. Therefore, for any $x \in E$,

$$|X_x^\epsilon - X_x^\psi|_{C([0,T];E)} = |\sqrt{\epsilon}Z - Z^\psi|_{C([0,T];E)}.$$

□

Now, we extend $I_{0,T}$ to the negative half line by translation, defining for any $\varphi \in C([-T,0];E)$

$$I_{-T,0}(\varphi) = I_{0,T}(\varphi(T + \cdot)),$$

$$I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) = \sup_{T>0} I_{-T,0}(\varphi).$$

If $I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) < +\infty$, then there exists $\psi \in L^2((-\infty,0);H)$ such that for $-T \leq t \leq 0$

$$\varphi(t) = S(t+T)\varphi(-T) + \int_{-T}^t S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds.$$

If $\sup_{t \leq 0} |\varphi(t)| < +\infty$, then by taking $-T \to -\infty$,

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds.$$

Definition 3.6. *We define the quasipotential for $N \subset E$ as*

$$\begin{aligned} V(N) &:= \inf \left\{ I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) : \lim_{t \to -\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0,\ \varphi(0) \in N \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} : \int_{-\infty}^0 S(-s)Q\psi(s)ds \in N \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We now prove that for any $r > 0$, the level set of $I_{-\infty,0}$,

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = \left\{ \varphi \in C((-\infty,0);E) : \lim_{t \to -\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0,\ I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) \leq r \right\}, \tag{3.11}$$

is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on bounded intervals of $(-\infty, 0]$.

Definition 3.7. *Define for any $t \geq 0$, $L_t : L^2([0,t];H) \to E$*

$$L_t\psi = \int_0^t S(s)Q\psi(s)ds. \tag{3.12}$$

Theorem 3.8. *For any fixed $t \geq 0$, the operator $L_t : L^2([0,t];H) \to E$ is a compact operator.*

Proof. By Hypothesis 2, $Z(t)$ is an E-valued Gaussian random variable. Therefore,

$$\langle Z(t), x^\star \rangle_{E,E^\star} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \langle S(t-s)Qe_k, x^\star \rangle_{E,E^\star} \, d\beta_k(s)$$

is a real-valued Gaussian random variable. Its variance is

$$\mathbb{E} \langle Z(t), x^\star \rangle^2_{E,E^\star} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \langle S(t-s)Qe_k, x^\star \rangle^2_{E,E^\star} \, ds$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \langle e_k, (S(t-s)Q)^\star x^\star \rangle^2_H \, ds = \int_0^t |(S(t-s)Q)^\star x^\star|^2_H ds = |L_t^\star x^\star|^2_{L^2([0,t];H)}.$$

We calculate the characteristic function of $Z(t)$. For any $x^\star \in E^\star$ let

$$\hat{\mu}(x^\star) := \mathbb{E}\left(e^{i\langle Z(t), x^\star \rangle_{E,E^\star}}\right) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|L_t^\star x^\star|^2_{L^2([0,t];H)}}. \tag{3.13}$$

We now prove that $L_t^\star$ is a compact operator. Let $|x_n^\star|_{E^\star} \leq 1$ be a sequence in the dual space. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the unit ball in $E^\star$ is weak-$\star$ compact and therefore there exists a subsequence $x^\star_{n_k}$ with the weak-$\star$ limit $x^\star$. Because $Z(t)$ is assumed to be E-valued with probability 1, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \hat{\mu}(x^\star_{n_k} - x^\star) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{i\left\langle Z(t), x^\star_{n_k} - x^\star \right\rangle_{E,E^\star}}\right) = 1.$$

It follows from (3.13) that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} |L_t^\star (x^\star_{n_k} - x^\star)|^2_{L^2([0,t];H)} = 0$$

and therefore $L_t^\star$ is a compact operator. By Schauder's Theorem (see, for example, [8, Theorem VI.3.4]) $L_t : L^2([0,t];H) \to E$ is also a compact operator. □

We now recall a convenient fact about compact operators

Lemma 3.9. *If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Banach spaces and $\Lambda : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a compact operator, then for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$ which converges weakly to x in $\mathcal{X}$, we have $|\Lambda(x_n - x)|_{\mathcal{Y}} \to 0$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\Lambda x_n \to \Lambda x$ weakly. This is because for any $x^\star \in \mathcal{X}^\star$,

$$\langle \Lambda x_n, x^\star \rangle_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}^\star} = \langle x_n, \Lambda^\star x^\star \rangle_{\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Y}^\star} \to \langle \Lambda x, x^\star \rangle_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}^\star}.$$

But the compactness of Λ implies that every subsequence of Λx_n has a further subsequence that converges in $\mathcal{Y}$ norm. This limit must coincide with the weak limit Λx. □

Corollary 3.10. *For any $t > 0$, the operator $L_t : L^2([0,t];H) \to E$ is bounded and*

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t];H),E)} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. First, we notice that for $0 < t < 1$,

$$L_t\psi = \int_0^t S(r)Q\psi(r) = \int_0^1 S(r)Q\psi(r)\mathbb{1}_{r<t}dr = L_1(\psi(\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{\cdot<t}).$$

Let $\psi^t \in L^2([0,t];H)$ be such that $|\psi^t|_{L^2([0,t];H)} = 1$ and

$$|L_t\psi^t|_E > \|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t];H),E)} - t. \tag{3.15}$$

For any function $\phi \in L^2([0,1];H)$,

$$\left|\int_0^t \left\langle \psi^t(s), \phi(s)\right\rangle_H ds\right| \leq |\psi^t|_{L^2([0,t];H)}|\phi|_{L^2([0,t];H)} \overset{t\to 0}{\to} 0.$$

Therefore $\psi^t(\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{\{\cdot\leq t\}}$ converges to 0 weakly in $L^2([0,1];H)$. By Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 3.9.

$$\|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t];H),E)} \leq t + |L_t\psi^t|_E = t + |L_1\psi^t(\mathbb{1}_{\{\cdot<t\}})| \to 0$$

Therefore, the conclusion follows. □

Remark 3.11. *At first glance, Corollary 3.10 may seem obvious. In actuality, it is a consequence of compactness. There are certain noncompact operators L_t for which $\|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t];H),E)}$ does not converge to 0. As an example, consider the operator $Q : H \to H$, $Qe_k = ke_k$ and the semigroup $S(t) : H \to H$, $S(t)e_k = e^{-k^2t}e_k$. Then the operator*

$$L_t\psi = \int_0^t S(s)Q\psi(s)ds$$

is a bounded operator, and for any fixed ψ,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} |L_t\psi|_H = 0.$$

However, $\|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t]H),H)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for all $t > 0$. Of course, such an L_t cannot be the quadratic variation of a Gaussian random variable because it is not compact.

Theorem 3.12. *The operator $L : L^2((0,+\infty,0);H) \to E$ defined by*

$$L\psi = \int_0^\infty S(t)Q\psi(t)dt \tag{3.16}$$

is compact.

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 3.8 and the fact that $S(t)$ is of negative type. Notice that

$$L\psi = \sum_{j=0}^\infty S(j)\int_j^{j+1} S(s-j)Q\psi(s)ds = \sum_{n=0}^\infty S(j)L_1\psi(j+\cdot).$$

For any sequence $|\psi_n|_{L^2((0,+\infty);H)} \leq 1$, there exists, by Alaoglu's theorem, a subsequence (which we relabel ψ_n) such that $\psi_n \to \psi$ weakly in $L^2((0,+\infty);H)$. Any translate $\psi_n(j+\cdot)$

also converges weakly to $\psi(j+\cdot)$. To see this, take any function $\phi \in L^2((0,+\infty);H)$ and notice that

$$\int_0^\infty \langle \psi_n(j+s) - \psi(j+s), \phi(s)\rangle_H \, ds = \int_0^\infty \left\langle \psi_n(s) - \psi(s), \phi(s-j)\chi_{\{s\geq j\}}\right\rangle_H ds \to 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.9, for any j

$$L_1\psi_n(j+\cdot) \to L_1\psi(j+\cdot).$$

Our conclusion follows because

$$|L(\psi_n - \psi)|_E \leq M \sum_{j=0}^\infty e^{-\omega j} |L_1(\psi_n(j+\cdot) - \psi(j+\cdot))|_E.$$

□

Theorem 3.13. *Under Hypothesis 1 and 2, for any $r > 0$, the set $\mathcal{K}(r)$ defined in* (3.11) *is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets of $(-\infty, 0]$.*

Proof. Given any sequence

$$\Psi_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t S(t-s)Q\psi_n(s)ds$$

with $\frac{1}{2}|\psi_n|^2_{L^2((-\infty,0))} \leq r$, we will show that there exists a subsequence which is convergent in $C((-\infty,0);E)$. By a time change, we can write

$$\Psi_n(t) = \int_0^\infty S(s)Q\psi_n(t-s)ds = L\psi_n(t-\cdot),$$

where L is defined in (3.16). By Alaoglu's theorem, there exists a subsequence, which we relabel ψ_n, and $\psi \in L^2((-\infty,0];H)$ such that

$$\psi_n \to \psi \text{ weakly in } L^2((-\infty,0);H).$$

Now, integrating against any function $\phi \in L^2((0,+\infty);H)$ we see that for any $t < 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \langle \psi_n(t-s), \phi(s)\rangle_H \, ds = \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle \psi_n(s), \phi(t-s)\mathbb{1}_{s<t}\rangle_H \, ds$$

$$\to \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle \psi(s), \phi(t-s)\mathbb{1}_{s<t}\rangle_H \, ds = \int_0^\infty \langle \psi(t-s), \phi(s)\rangle_H \, ds$$

and therefore,

$$\psi_n(t-\cdot) \to \psi(t-\cdot) \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,+\infty);H).$$

We define

$$\Psi(t) = L\psi(t-\cdot).$$

By Lemma 3.9, for any fixed $t \leq 0$, $\Psi_n(t) \to \Psi(t)$. Then, to finish the proof, we must show that (possibly for a further subsequence) this convergence is uniform on bounded intervals of t. By a generalization of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it remains to prove that $\{\Psi_n\}$ is a equicontinuous set.

$\{\Psi_n\}$ is equicontinuous if and only if for any sequences $-\infty < s_n < t_n \leq 0$ such that $t_n - s_n \to 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} |\Psi_n(t_n) - \Psi_n(s_n)|_E = 0.$$

Fix sequences $-\infty < s_n \leq t_n \leq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_n(t_n) - \Psi_n(s_n) = L\psi_n(t_n - \cdot) - L\psi_n(s_n - \cdot) \\
&= \int_0^\infty S(r)Q\psi_n(t_n - r)dr - \int_0^\infty S(r)Q\psi_n(s_n - r)dr \\
&= \int_0^{t_n - s_n} S(r)Q\psi_n(t_n - r)dr + (S(t_n - s_n) - I)\int_0^\infty S(r)Q\psi_n(s_n - r)dr \\
&= L(\psi_n(t_n - \cdot)\mathbb{1}_{[0,t_n-s_n]}(\cdot)) + (S(t_n - s_n) - I)L\psi_n(s_n - \cdot).
\end{aligned}$$

Because L is a compact operator, every subsequence of $\{L\psi_n(s_n - \cdot)\}$ has a convergent subsequence, and therefore

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} |(S(t_n - s_n) - I)L\psi_n(s_n - \cdot)|_E = 0.$$

Next, we observe that as $|t_n - s_n| \to 0$

$$\psi_n(t_n - \cdot)\mathbb{1}_{[0,t_n-s_n]} \to 0 \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,+\infty); H).$$

To see this, take any test function $\phi \in L^2((0,+\infty); H)$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\left| \int_0^{t_n - s_n} \langle \psi_n(t_n - r), \phi(r) \rangle_H \right| \leq |\psi_n|_{L^2((0,+\infty);H)} |\phi|_{L^2((0,t_n-s_n);H)} \to 0.$$

Therefore, because L is a continuous operator from the weak topology on $L^2((0,+\infty); H)$ to E, it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} |L(\psi_n(t_n - \cdot)\mathbb{1}_{[0,t_n-s_n]})|_E = 0.$$

Therefore, the family $\{\Psi_n\}$ is equicontinuous, and for each $t < 0$ $\Psi_n(t) \to \Psi(t)$. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, it follows that there exists a subsequence, also relabeled as Ψ_n, such that for any $T > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} |\Psi_n - \Psi|_{C([-T,0];E)} = 0.$$

□

4 Semilinear equation, additive noise

In this section we extend the results from the previous section to the semilinear equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_x^\epsilon(t) = (AX_x^\epsilon(t) + F(X_x^\epsilon(t)))dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}Qdw(s) \\ X_x^\epsilon(0) = x \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

in its mild formulation

$$X_x^\epsilon(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_x^\epsilon(s))ds + \sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^t S(t-s)Qdw(s). \tag{4.2}$$

In what follows, we will assume that the following conditions are satisfied.

Hypothesis 3. *i. $A+F$ is strongly dissipative. That is, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in D(A)$, there exists $x^\star \in \partial|x-y|_E$ such that*

$$\langle A(x-y) + F(x) - F(y), x^\star\rangle_{E,E^\star} \leq -\lambda|x-y|_E, \tag{4.3}$$

where the subdifferential $\partial|x-y|_E$ is defined as

$$\partial|x-y|_E = \{x^\star \in E^\star : |x^\star|_{E^\star} = 1, \langle x-y, x^\star\rangle_{E,E^\star} = |x-y|_E\} \tag{4.4}$$

ii. F is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E.

iii. $F(0) = 0$.

Dissipativity guarantees that the unperturbed system X_x^0 has a unique global solution (see for example [9, Appendix D]) and the fact that $F(0) = 0$ implies that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} |X_x^0(t)|_E = 0.$$

By the contraction principle, we can extend the LDP for the stochastic convolution to an LDP for X_x^ϵ. The rate function for X_x^ϵ will be based on the deterministic control system associated with this equation. Namely for $\psi \in L^2([0,T];H)$ we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dX_x^\psi}{dt}(t) = AX_x^\psi(t) + F(X_x^\psi(t)) + Q\psi(t), \\ \\ X_x^\psi(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

which can be rewritten in mild form as

$$X_x^\psi(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_x^\psi(s))ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds. \tag{4.6}$$

For any $T > 0$, we define the rate functions

$$I_{0,T}(\varphi) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} : \varphi = X_x^\psi\right\} \tag{4.7}$$

with the standard convention that $\inf\emptyset = +\infty$. We also define the corresponding level sets, $K_{0,T}^x(r)$, by

$$K_{0,T}^x(r) = \{\varphi \in C([0,T];E) : \varphi(0) = x, I_{0,T}(\varphi) \leq r\}. \tag{4.8}$$

We now prove that X_x^ϵ satisfies a large deviation principe which is uniform with respect to the initial condition on bounded subsets of E.

Theorem 4.1 (Uniform Large deviations principle)**.** *For any $\psi \in L^2([0,T];H)$, $R > 0$ and $\delta > 0$,*

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\inf_{|x|_E \le R} \mathbb{P}\left(\left| X_x^\epsilon - X_x^\psi \right|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta \right) \right) \ge -\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)}, \tag{4.9}$$

and for any $r > 0$, $R > 0$, and $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{|x|_E \le R} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(X_x^\epsilon, K_{0,T}^x(r)) > \delta \right) \right) \le -r. \tag{4.10}$$

Before proving the above theorem, we define the mapping $\alpha : C([0,T];E) \to C([0,T];E)$ where $\alpha(\Phi)(t)$ is the unique solution to

$$\alpha(\Phi)(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)F(\alpha(\Phi)(s))ds + \Phi(t). \tag{4.11}$$

In this way, $\alpha(S(\cdot)x + \sqrt{\epsilon}Z(\cdot)) = X_x^\epsilon$ and $\alpha(S(\cdot)x + Z^\psi(\cdot)) = X_x^\psi$.

We can show that α is well defined by proving that the mapping $K : C([0,T];E) \to C([0,T];E)$ given by

$$K(u)(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)F(u(s))ds + \Phi(t)$$

is a contraction for small T and then using a bootstrap argument. To do this we need the following a priori bound.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a continuous increasing function $\kappa(r)$ such that for any $T > 0$,*

$$|\alpha(\Phi)|_{C([0,T];E)} \le \kappa(|\Phi|_{C([0,T];E)}). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = \alpha(\Phi)$. Then

$$\varphi(t) - \Phi(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)F(\varphi(s))ds.$$

This is weakly differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)] = A[\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)] + F(\varphi(t)) = A[\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)] + F(\varphi(t)) - F(\Phi(t)) + F(\Phi(t)).$$

By Hypothesis 3, there exists $x^\star(t) \in \partial|\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)|_E$ such that

$$\frac{d^-}{dt}|\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)|_E = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt}[\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)], x^\star(t) \right\rangle_{E,E^\star} \le -\lambda|\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)|_E + |F(\Phi(t))|_E.$$

Therefore,

$$|\varphi(t) - \Phi(t)|_E \le |\varphi(0) - \Phi(0)|e^{-\lambda t} + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}|F(\Phi(s))|_E ds \le |\Phi(0)| + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sup_{s\le t}|F(\Phi(s))|_E. \tag{4.13}$$

Then we can conclude that

$$|\varphi|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq |\varphi - \Phi|_{C([0,T];E)} + |\Phi|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq 2|\Phi|_{C([0,T];E)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{t \leq T} |F(\Phi(t))|_E.$$

We can set

$$\kappa(r) = 2r + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{|y|_E \leq r} |F(y)|_E.$$

□

Next we show that $\Phi \mapsto \alpha(\Phi)$ is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of Φ.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\delta > 0$ and any $\rho > 0$, there exists $\delta_\rho > 0$ such that if $|\Phi_0|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq \rho$ and $|\Phi_0 - \Phi|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta_\rho$, then*

$$|\alpha(\Phi) - \alpha(\Phi_0)|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi = \alpha(\Phi)$, and $\varphi_0 = \alpha(\Phi_0)$. By subtracting these we see that

$$\varphi_0(t) - \varphi(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)[F(\varphi_0(s)) - F(\varphi(s))]ds.$$

This is differentiable in a weak sense and

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\varphi_0(t) - \varphi(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t)] = A[\varphi_0(t) - \varphi(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t)] + F(\varphi_0(t)) - F(\varphi(t)).$$

We rewrite this as

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\varphi_0(t) - \varphi(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t)] =$$

$$A[\varphi_0(t) - \varphi(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t)] + [F(\varphi_0(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t)) - F(\varphi(t))]$$

$$+[F(\varphi_0(t)) - F(\varphi_0(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t))].$$

By the same arguments that we used in the proof of the previous lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varphi_0(t) - \varphi(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t)|_E \\ &\leq |\Phi(0) - \Phi_0(0)|_E + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} |F(\varphi_0(s)) - F(\varphi_0(s) - \Phi_0(s) + \Phi(s))|_E ds \qquad (4.14) \\ &\leq |\Phi(0) - \Phi_0(0)|_E + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{s \leq t} |F(\varphi_0(t)) - F(\varphi_0(t) - \Phi_0(t) + \Phi(t))|_E. \end{aligned}$$

By Hypothesis 3, F is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E and by (4.12), $|\varphi_0|_{C([0,T];E)}$ is bounded uniformly for $|\Phi_0|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq \rho$. Therefore we can find $\delta_\rho > 0$ so that

$$|\Phi_0 - \Phi|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta_\rho \Longrightarrow |\varphi_0 - \varphi - \Phi_0 + \Phi|_{C([0,T];E)} < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

We conclude the proof with the observation that

$$|\varphi - \varphi_0|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq |\varphi - \varphi_0 - \Phi + \Phi_0|_{C([0,T];E)} + |\Phi_0 - \Phi|_{C([0,T];E)}$$

so our result follows by possibly decreasing δ_ρ. □

Remark 4.4. *The uniqueness of the mild solution of equation* (2.3) *follows from the previous lemma.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1 (Uniform large deviations principle). First, by Theorem 3.12, the operator $L : L^2((0,+\infty];H) \to E$ is compact and therefore bounded. This means that there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty S(t)Q\psi(s)ds \right|_E \leq c|\psi|_{L^2([0,+\infty);H)}.$$

By a time change, it follows that

$$\left| Z^\psi(t) \right|_E = \left| \int_0^t S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds \right|_E \leq c|\psi|_{L^2([0,t];H)}.$$

Let $R > 0$, $r > 0$ and set $\rho = R + c\sqrt{2r}$. For any $\delta > 0$ let $\delta_\rho > 0$ be from Lemma 4.3. Then for any $\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2((0,T);H)} \leq r$,

$$\inf_{|x|_E \leq R} \mathbb{P}\left(|X^\epsilon_x - X^\psi_x|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta \right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\left| Z^\psi - \sqrt{\epsilon}Z \right|_{C([0,T];E)} < \delta_\rho \right).$$

Consequently, (4.9) follows from (3.5). By almost the same argument,

$$\sup_{|x|_E \leq R} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(X^\epsilon_x, K^x_{0,T}(r)) > \delta \right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(\sqrt{\epsilon}Z, \tilde{K}_{0,T}(r)) > \delta_\rho \right)$$

and (4.10) follows from (3.6). □

Remark 4.5. *In the case where F is globally Lipschitz continuous, the large deviation principle is uniform with respect to all $x \in E$. This can be proven with a straightforward application of Grönwall's inequality.*

We now establish that the solution X^ψ_x depends continuously on the initial condition x.

Theorem 4.6. *For any $x_1, x_2 \in E$, and $\psi \in L^2([0,T];H)$,*

$$|X^\psi_{x_1} - X^\psi_{x_2}|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq |x_1 - x_2|_E. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof. We proceed as in Lemma 4.2. Let

$$\varphi(t) := X^\psi_{x_1}(t) - X^\psi_{x_2}(t) = S(t)(x_1 - x_2) + \int_0^t S(t-s)(F(X^\psi_{x_1}(s)) - F(X^\psi_{x_2}(s)))ds.$$

The weak derivative of the above expression is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(t) = A\varphi(t) + F(X^\psi_{x_1}(t)) - F(X^\psi_{x_2}(t)).$$

Therefore, by Hypothesis 3 there exists $x^\star(t) \in \partial|\varphi(t)|_E$ such that

$$\frac{d^-}{dt}|\varphi(t)|_E \leq \left\langle A\varphi(t) + F(X^\psi_{x_1}(t)) - F(X^\psi_{x_2}(t)), x^\star(t) \right\rangle_{E,E^\star} \leq 0.$$

The result follows because $|\varphi(0)|_E = |x - y|_E$. □

Now, we want to extend the domain of the rate functions to include trajectories on the negative half-line. Because (2.1) is time homogeneous, we use translation to define, for any $t < T \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_{t,T}(\varphi) = I_{0,T-t}(\varphi(\cdot + t)). \tag{4.16}$$

We then define

$$I_{-\infty,T}(\varphi) = \sup_{t<T} I_{t,T}(\varphi). \tag{4.17}$$

Now, as mentioned in the introduction, we define the quasipotential to be, for any $N \subset E$

$$V(N) = \inf \left\{ I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) : \lim_{t\to-\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0,\ \varphi(0) = N \right\} \tag{4.18}$$

where, once again, we use the standard convention that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. One can think of this as the minimal amount of energy required to reach the set N starting from the point 0 in an infinite amount of time.

If $\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0); E)$ and $I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) < +\infty$, then by (4.16) and (4.17) φ is the weak solution to a control problem for some $\psi \in L^2((-\infty, 0); H)$. That is, for any $-T < t < 0$,

$$\varphi(t) = S(t+T)\varphi(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds.$$

Theorem 4.7 (Compact level sets)**.** *Under Hypotheses 1, 2, and 3, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the set*

$$K_{-\infty}(r) = \{\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0); E) : \lim_{t\to-\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0,\ I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) \le r\} \tag{4.19}$$

is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on bounded intervals.

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset K_{-\infty}(r)$. We will show that there exists a subsequence that converges in $C((-\infty, 0]; E)$. First, we observe that there must exist $\{\psi_n\} \subset L^2((0, +\infty); H)$ with $\frac{1}{2}|\psi_n|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} \le r + \frac{1}{n}$ such that for any $-\infty < -T < t < 0$,

$$\varphi_n(t) = S(t+T)\varphi(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi_n(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi_n(s)ds.$$

By Lemma 4.2, for any $-T \le t \le 0$,

$$|\varphi_n(t)|_E \le \kappa \left(|\varphi_n(-T)|_E + \left|\Psi_n^T\right|_{C([-T,t];E)} \right) \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$\Psi_n^T(t) = \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi_n(s)ds.$$

We also set

$$\Psi_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi_n(s)ds.$$

Note that by Theorem 3.12, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\left|\Psi_n^T(t)\right|_E = \left|L\psi_n(t-\cdot)\mathbb{1}_{\{\cdot>-T\}}\right|_E \le c|\psi_n|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)}.$$

If we let $T \to +\infty$ in (4.20), then because $\lim_{T\to+\infty} \varphi_n(-T) = 0$, we see that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{t\leq 0} |\varphi_n(t)|_E < +\infty. \tag{4.21}$$

Now we show that there exists a subsequence of $\{\varphi_n\}$ that is a Cauchy sequence in $C([t,0];E)$ for any $t < 0$. By Lemma 3.13, there exists a subsequence of $\{\Psi_n\}$, which we relabel as Ψ_n which converges uniformly on $C([t,0];E)$ for any $t < 0$. By the arguments of Lemma 4.3 and especially (4.14), we see that for any $T > 0$, $-T < t < 0$,

$$|\varphi_n(t) - \varphi_m(t)|_E \leq |\Psi_n^T(t) - \Psi_m^T(t)|_E + |\varphi_n(-T) - \varphi_m(-T) - \Psi_n^T(-T) + \Psi_m(-T)|_E$$

$$+ \int_{-T}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} |F(\varphi_n(s) - \Psi_n^T(s) + \Psi_m^T(s)) - F(\varphi_n(s))|_E ds.$$

Notice that by definition, $\Psi_n^T(-T) = \Psi_m^T(-T) = 0$. By letting $T \to +\infty$, we see that

$$|\varphi_n(t)-\varphi_m(t)|_E \leq |\Psi_n(t)-\Psi_m(t)|_{C([0,t];E)} + e^{-\lambda t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{\lambda s} |F(\varphi_n(s)-\Psi_n(s)+\Psi_m(s))-F(\varphi_n(s))|_E ds.$$

Because $\{\Psi_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence on compact subsets, the dominated convergence on the integral term implies that $\{\varphi_n\}$ is Cauchy on $C([t,0];E)$ for any $t < 0$. Therefore, by completeness, there is a limit φ such that $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ uniformly on compact sets. We can use the dominated convergence because the Ψ_n, and φ_n are uniformly bounded and F is continuous on bounded subsets.

It remains to show that $\varphi \in K_{-\infty}(r)$. By Alaoglu's theorem, we can take a subsequence of the $\{\psi_n\}$ that converges weakly to a limit ψ with $\frac{1}{2}|\psi|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} \leq r$. For any $T > 0$, $-T < t \leq 0$

$$\varphi_n(t) = S(t+T)\varphi_n(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi_n(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi_n(s)ds.$$

By Lemma 3.9 and Theorem 3.13,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi_n(s)ds = \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)\psi(s)ds$$

and therefore, by Lemma 4.3, because $\varphi_n(-T) \to \varphi(-T)$, it follows that φ solves

$$\varphi(t) = S(t+T)\varphi(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds.$$

The last thing to check is that $\lim_{t\to-\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0$. By (4.13) and (4.21), we see that for any $-T < t \leq 0$

$$|\varphi(t)|E \leq ce^{-\lambda(t+T)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{-T\leq s\leq t} |F(\Psi^T(s))|_E,$$

where

$$\Psi^T(s) = \int_{-T}^{s} S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds.$$

By letting $T \to +\infty$, we see that

$$|\varphi(t)|_E \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup_{s \leq t} |F(\Psi(s))|_E.$$

Then we observe that

$$|\Psi(t)|_E = |L\psi(t-\cdot)|_E \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2((-\infty,0);H),E)} |\psi|_{L^2((-\infty,t);H)}.$$

As $t \to \infty$, $F(\Psi(t))$ goes to 0 because F is continuous with $F(0) = 0$. We conclude that

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0.$$

□

There are some interesting immediate corollaries of Theorem 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. *If $I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) < +\infty$, then there exists $\psi \in L^2((-\infty,0);H)$ such that for any $-T < t < +\infty$,*

$$\varphi(t) = S(T+t)\varphi(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} = I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi).$$

Corollary 4.9. *If $V(N) < +\infty$, then there exists $\varphi \in C((-\infty,0];E)$ such that $\varphi(0) \in N$, $\lim_{t \to -\infty} \varphi(t) = 0$ and*

$$V(N) = I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi).$$

5 Multiplicative noise

In this section we consider a special case of multiplicative noise and we show that Theorem 4.7 still holds.

We consider the following stochastic equation

$$\begin{cases} dX^\epsilon_x(t) = (AX^\epsilon_x(t) + F(X^\epsilon_x(t)))dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}QB(X^\epsilon_x(t))dw(t), \\ \\ X^\epsilon_x(0) = x. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

and its associated mild formulation

$$X^\epsilon_x(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X^\epsilon_x(s))ds + \sqrt{\epsilon}\int_0^t S(t-s)QB(X^\epsilon_x(s))dw(s). \tag{5.2}$$

We also study the associated deterministic control problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}X^\psi_x(t) = AX^\psi_x(t) + F(X^\psi_x(t)) + QB(X^\psi_x(t))\psi(t), \\ \\ X^\epsilon_x(0) = x, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

and its mild formulation

$$X_x^\psi(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_x^\psi(s))ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)QB(X_x^\psi(s))\psi(s)ds. \tag{5.4}$$

For the operator Q, we still assume that Hypothesis 2 holds. Moreover we assume the following condition on B.

Hypothesis 4. *$B : E \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ and there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for $x, y \in E$, $h \in H$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |(B(x) - B(y))h|_H &\le \kappa |x-y|_E |h|_H \\ |B(x)h|_H &\le \kappa(1 + |x|_E)|h|_H. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Example 5.1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $H = L^2(D)$. Then if $\{e_k\}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of H and $\{\beta_k\}$ is a sequence of independent one-dimensional Brownian motions, the formal sum*

$$dw(t,\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(\xi) d\beta_k(t)$$

is a space-time white noise. Let $E = C(D)$ be the space of continuous functions on D.

Let $b : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function. In particular we assume that there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|b(r) - b(s)| \le \kappa |r-s|, \qquad |b(r)| \le \kappa(1 + |r|). \tag{5.6}$$

and define $B : E \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ to be the multiplication operator for $x \in E$ and $h \in H$

$$(B(x)h)(\xi) = b(x(\xi))h(\xi).$$

By (5.6), for $x, y \in E$, $h \in H$,

$$|B(x)h|_H^2 = \int_D |b(x(\xi))h(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le \kappa^2(1 + |x|_E)^2 |h|_H^2,$$

$$|(B(x) - B(y))h|_H^2 = \int_D |(b(x(\xi)) - b(y(\xi)))h(\xi)|^2 d\xi \le \kappa^2 |x-y|_E^2 |h|_H^2.$$

Recall that in Hypothesis 3 we assumed that F is uniformly continuous on bounded regions of F and that $A + F$ is strongly dissipative. If $B : E \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ were bounded, then Hypothesis 3 would be appropriate for this section. Unfortunately, because we assumed that B has linear growth we need to strengthen Hypothesis 3 to guarantee that the mild solutions (2.5) are well defined. We need to assume that the growth rate of F is compensated by its dissipativty.

Hypothesis 5. *The function F has polynomial growth and polynomial dissipativity. There exists $\kappa > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

i. For any $x \in E$, $|F(x)|_E \le \kappa(1 + |x|_E^m)$.

ii. For any $x, h \in D(A)$, there exists $h^\star \in \partial|h|_E$ such that

$$\langle F(x+h) - F(x), h^\star \rangle_{E,E^\star} \leq -\lambda |h|^m + \kappa(1 + |x|_E^m). \tag{5.7}$$

Example 5.2. *Let $E = C(D)$ and let F be the Nemytskii operator*

$$F(x)(\xi) = -(x(\xi))^3.$$

Such an F satisfies Hypothesis 5. The polynomial growth is clear. To see the dissipativity of this operator, observe that for any $x, h \in E$, $\xi \in D$,

$$F(x+h)(\xi) - F(x)(\xi) = -(x(\xi) + h(\xi))^3 + (x(\xi))^3 = -h^3(\xi) + 3x^2(\xi)h(\xi) + 3h^2(\xi)x(\xi)$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2}h^3(\xi) + \kappa(1 + |x(\xi)|^3)$$

where the last line follows from Young's inequality. Next, we recall that all $h^\star \in \partial|h|_E$, are signed measures with total variation $\|h^\star\|_{TV} = 1$, which are positive on the set

$$\{\xi \in D : h(\xi) = |h|_E\},$$

negative on the set

$$\{\xi \in D : h(\xi) = -|h|_E\}$$

and 0 everywhere else. Therefore,

$$\left\langle h^3, h^\star \right\rangle_{E,E^\star} = |h|_E^3.$$

We conclude that for any $h^\star \in \partial|h|_E$,

$$\langle F(x+h) - F(x), h^\star \rangle \leq -\frac{1}{2}|h|_E^3 + \kappa(1 + |x|_E^3).$$

Lemma 5.3 (A priori bounds)**.** *For any $R > 0$,*

$$\sup\left\{|X_x^\psi(t)|_E : t \geq 0, |x|_E \leq R, |\psi|_{L^2((0,+\infty);H)} \leq R\right\} < +\infty. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. Let

$$Z_x^\psi(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)QB(X_x^\psi(s))\psi(s)ds. \tag{5.9}$$

Then

$$X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t) = S(t)x + \int_0^t S(t-s)F(X_x^\psi(s))ds. \tag{5.10}$$

This expression is weakly differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)\right) = A(X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)) + F(X_x^\psi(t)).$$

It follows that there exists $x^\star(t) \in \partial|X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)|_E$ such that

$$\frac{d^-}{dt}|X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)|_E \leq \left\langle A(X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)) + F(X_x^\psi(t)) - F(Z_x^\psi(t)), x^\star(t)\right\rangle_{E,E^\star} \\ +|F(Z_x^\psi(t))|_E. \tag{5.11}$$

By Hypothesis 5,

$$\frac{d^-}{dt}|X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)| \leq -\lambda|X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)|_E^m + \kappa(1 + |Z_x^\psi(t)|_E^m). \tag{5.12}$$

If any nonnegative real-valued function has the property that

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) \leq -\lambda u^m(t) + \varphi^m(t) \tag{5.13}$$

it follows that

$$u(t) \leq u(0) + 2\lambda^{-\frac{1}{m}}\varphi(t).$$

The above fact is true becuase if $u(t)$ were ever greater than $2\lambda^{-\frac{1}{m}}\varphi(t)$, then according to (5.13), $u'(t)$ would be negative. Therefore, the only way this can happen is if the initial condition is large. Therefore,

$$|X_x^\psi(t) - Z_x^\psi(t)|_E \leq |x|_E + c(1 + |Z_x^\psi(t)|_E) \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$|X_x^\psi(t)|_E \leq |x|_E + c(1 + |Z_x^\psi(t)|_E). \tag{5.15}$$

Meanwhile, by Hypothesis 4,

$$|Z_x^\psi(t)|_E = \left|\int_0^t S(t-s)QB(X_x^\psi(s))ds\right|_E \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2((0,t);H);E)}\left|B(X_x^\psi(\cdot))\psi\right|_{L^2((0,t);H)} \\ \leq c\sqrt{\int_0^t (1 + |X_x^\psi(s)|_E^2)|\psi(s)|_H^2}. \tag{5.16}$$

Therefore,

$$|X_x^\psi(t)|_E^2 \leq c\left(|x|_E^2 + 1 + |\psi|_{L^2((0,t);H)}^2 + \int_0^t |X_x^\psi(s)|_E^2|\psi(s)|_H^2 ds\right) \tag{5.17}$$

and by Grönwall's inequality we can conclude that

$$|X_x^\psi(t)|_E^2 \leq c\left(|x|_E^2 + 1 + |\psi|_{L^2((0,t);H)}^2\right)e^{c|\psi|_{L^2((0,t);H)}^2}. \tag{5.18}$$

□

The previous lemma allows us to extend the compactness results from the additive noise case to the results for the multiplicative noise case.

Definition 5.4. *For any $T > 0$ define the rate functions*

$$I_{0,T}(\varphi) = \inf\{\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} : \varphi(t) = X^{\psi}_x(t)\}. \tag{5.19}$$

We claim that Theorem 4.1 holds in the multiplicative noise case also. Unlike in the additive noise case, one can not apply a contraction principle. One must use variational methods (see for example [5, Section 6]).

Theorem 5.5. *Under Hypotheses 1, 2, 4, and 5, for any $r > 0$, the set of trajectories*

$$\mathcal{K}(r) := \left\{\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0]; E) : \lim_{t\to-\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0,\ I_{-\infty}(\varphi) \le r\right\} \tag{5.20}$$

is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on bounded intervals.

Proof. Let $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}(r)$ be a sequence. Then there exists $|\psi_n|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} \le \sqrt{2(r + \frac{1}{n})}$ so that for any $-T < t < 0$,

$$\varphi_n(t) = S(t+T)\varphi_n(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi_n(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)QB(\varphi_n(s))\psi_n(s)ds.$$

By (5.18),

$$\sup_{-T\le t\le 0} |\varphi_n(t)|_E \le c\left(|\varphi_n(-T)|_E + 1 + |\psi|^2_{L^2((-T,0);H)}\right) e^{c|\psi|^2_{L^2((-T,0);H)}}.$$

If we let $-T \to -\infty$, we see that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{t\le 0} |\varphi_n(t)|_E \le c(1 + 2(r+1))e^{1+2(r+1)}$$

where we used the fact that $|\psi_n|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} \le \sqrt{2(r+1)}$. Let $v_n(t) = B(\varphi_n(t))\psi_n(t)$. Then, by Hypothesis 4,

$$\sup_n |v_n|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} \le \sup_n \kappa(1 + \sup_{t\le 0} |\varphi_n(t)|_E)|\psi_n|_{L^2((-\infty,0);H)} < +\infty.$$

Therefore, by Alaoglu's theorem there is a subsequence which we relabel v_n such that v_n converges weakly in $L^2((-\infty, 0); H)$ to a limit v. Then, by Theorem 4.7 about compactness in the additive noise case, we see that φ_n converges uniformly on bounded intervals to φ solving

$$\varphi(t) = S(t+T)\varphi(-T) + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)F(\varphi(s))ds + \int_{-T}^{t} S(t-s)Qv(s).$$

It remains to argue that $v(s) = B(\varphi(s))\psi(s)$ for some $\psi(s)$. In fact, again by Alaoglu's theorem, there is a subsequence of ψ_n which converges weakly in $L^2((-\infty, 0); H)$ to ψ. Then, because

$\varphi_n \to \varphi$ uniformly on compact intervals, for any test function $\phi \in L^2((-\infty, 0); H)$ with finite support,

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{0} \langle B(\varphi_n(s))\psi_n(s) - B(\varphi(s))\psi(s), \phi(s) \rangle_H \, ds \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{0} \langle B(\varphi(s))(\psi_n(s) - \psi(s)), \phi(s) \rangle_H \, ds \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{0} \langle (B(\varphi_n(s)) - B(\varphi(s)))\psi_n(s), \phi(s) \rangle_H \, ds \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{0} \langle \psi_n(s) - \psi(s), B^\star(\varphi(s))\phi(s) \rangle_H \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{0} \langle B(\varphi_n(s)) - B(\varphi(s))\psi_n(s), \phi(s) \rangle_H \right|$$

$$:= I_1^n + I_2^n$$

I_1^n converges to zero because ψ_n converge weakly to ψ in $L^2((0, +\infty); H)$. I_2^n converges to zero because φ_n converges to φ uniformly on compact intervals and ϕ has finite support. Therefore $B(\varphi_n(s))\psi_n(s) \to B(\varphi(s))\psi(s)$ weakly in $L^2((-\infty, 0); H)$. Therefore $v(s) = B(\varphi(s))\psi(s)$ and the limit $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(r)$. □

6 Exit time and exit place

Let $G \subset E$ be an open, connected, and bounded set, and let $0 \in G$. The goal of the next section is to characterize the exit time and exit place of the process X_x^ϵ from the domain G.

Lemma 6.1 (Attraction to stable equilibrium)**.** *The unperturbed equation X_x^0 converges to 0 uniformly for $x \in G$. That is*

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{x \in G} |X_x^0|_E = 0. \tag{6.1}$$

Also, for any $t > 0$, $|X_x^0(t)|_E \leq |x|_E$.

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of dissipativity. $t \mapsto X_x^0(t)$ is weakly differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_x^0(t) = AX_x^0(t) + F(X_x^0(t)).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d^-}{dt} |X_x^0(t)|_E \leq -\lambda |X_x^0(t)|_E$$

and it follows that

$$|X_x^0(t)|_E \leq e^{-\lambda t} |x|_E.$$

Our results follow because G is bounded. □

Hypothesis 6 (Boundary Regularity)**.** *The boundary of G is regular enough so that*

$$V(\partial G) = V(\bar{G}^c) < +\infty.$$

Remark 6.2. *First, we observe that it is always the case that*

$$V(\partial G) \leq V(\bar{G}^c).$$

If $\tilde{y} \in \bar{G}^c$ and $V(\tilde{y}) < +\infty$, then by Corollary 4.9 there exists a trajectory $\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0); E)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \varphi(t) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi(0) = \tilde{y}$$

with

$$I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) = V(\tilde{y}) < +\infty.$$

Because this trajectory is continuous and we assumed that $0 \in G$, and $\tilde{y} \in \bar{G}^c$, there must be some $t < 0$ for which $\varphi(t) \in \partial G$. But then, by the definition of V,

$$V(\partial G) \leq V(\varphi(t)) \leq I_{-\infty,t}(\varphi) \leq I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) = V(\bar{G}^c).$$

To see why such a boundary regularity assumption is important, consider a punctured ball. Let $a \in E$, be such that $0 < |a|_E < 1$ and $V(a) < \inf_{|x|_E=1} V(x)$. Let $G = \{x \in E : |x| < 1, x \neq a\}$. We should not expect exit place results to be based on the values of V on ∂G, because $a \in \partial G$ but a is far from $\bar{G}^c$.

Now we introduce the stopping times

$$\tau_x^\epsilon = \inf\{t > 0 : X_x^\epsilon(t) \notin G\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Theorem 6.3. *Under Hypotheses 1, 2, 6 and either Hypothesis 3 if the equation has additive noise or Hypotheses 4 and 5 if the equation has multiplicative noise, for any $x \in G$,*

i.

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{E}\tau_x^\epsilon = V(\partial G). \tag{6.3}$$

ii. For any $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)-\eta)} \leq \tau_x^\epsilon \leq e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)+\eta)}\right) = 1. \tag{6.4}$$

iii. For any closed $N \subset \partial G$ with $V(N) > V(\partial G)$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_x^\epsilon) \in N\right) = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

6.1 Some preliminary lemmas

Lemma 6.4. *For any $\eta > 0$, there exist $\rho > 0$, $T_1 > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $\psi \in L^2([0, T_1]; H)$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T_1];H)} \leq V(\partial G) + \eta.$$

and for all $|x|_E \leq \rho$,

$$\text{dist}_E(X_x^\psi(T_1), G) > \delta.$$

Proof. First, by Hypothesis 6, there exists $y \in \bar{G}^c$ such that $V(y) < V(\partial G) + \eta$. Then, by Corollary 4.9, there must exist $\varphi \in C((-\infty, 0); E)$ with

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} |\varphi(t)|_E = 0,\ \varphi(0) = y,\ \text{and } I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) < V(\partial G) + \eta.$$

Because $y \in \bar{G}^c$, the distance, $d := \text{dist}_E(y, G)$, is strictly positive. Because $\lim_{t\to-\infty} \varphi(t) = 0$, we can choose $T_1 > 0$ to be large enough so that $|\varphi(-T_1)|_E < \frac{d}{3}$. Set $x_1 = \varphi(-T_1)$. By (4.16), it is clear that $\varphi(t - T_1) = X^{\psi}_{x_1}(t)$ for some ψ with

$$\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T_1];H)} < V(\partial G) + \frac{2\eta}{3}.$$

Then, by (4.15), if $|x|_E < \frac{d}{3}$,

$$\left|y - X^{\psi}_x(T_1)\right|_E = \left|X^{\psi}_{x_1}(T_1) - X^{\psi}_x(T_1)\right|_E \le |x_1 - x|_E \le \frac{2d}{3}.$$

In particular, this means that for all $|x|_E < \frac{d}{3}$,

$$\text{dist}_E(X^{\psi}_x(T_1), G) \ge \text{dist}_E(y, G) - |y - X^{\psi}_x(T_1)|_E > \frac{d}{3}.$$

Our result follows with $\rho = \frac{d}{3}$ and $\delta = \frac{d}{3}$. □

Lemma 6.5. *For any $\eta > 0$, we can find $T > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to0} \epsilon \log\left(\inf_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}(\tau^{\epsilon}_x < T)\right) > -(V(\partial G) + \eta). \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. Let ψ, ρ, δ, and T_1 satisfy Lemma 6.4. Then, because $\text{dist}_E(X^{\psi}_x(T_1), G) > \delta$, for $|x|_E < \rho$, we have the inclusion

$$\{\tau^{\epsilon}_x \le T_1\} \supset \{|X^{\epsilon}_x - X^{\psi}_x|_{C([0,T_1];E)} < \delta\}.$$

By (4.9), this implies thatd

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon\to0} \epsilon \log\left(\inf_{|x|_E\le\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^{\epsilon}_x \le T_1\right)\right) \\
&\ge \lim_{\epsilon\to0} \epsilon \log\left(\inf_{|x|_E\le\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(|X^{\epsilon}_x - X^{\psi}_x|_{C([0,T_1];E)} < \delta\right)\right) \\
&\ge -(V(\partial G) + \eta).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

By (6.1), there exists T_2 such that $\sup_{x\in G} |X^0_x(T_2)|_E < \frac{\rho}{2}$.

By the Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^{\epsilon}_x \le T_1 + T_2\right) \ge \inf_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(|X^{\epsilon}_x(T_2)| < \rho \text{ and } \tau^{\epsilon}_{X^{\epsilon}_x(T_2)} \le T_1\right) \\
&\ge \inf_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(|X^{\epsilon}_x - X^0_x|_{C([0,T_2])} < \frac{\rho}{2}\right) \inf_{|x|_E<\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^{\epsilon}_x \le T_1\right)
\end{aligned}$$

which means that, by (6.7),

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to0} \epsilon \log\left(\inf_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}(\tau^{\epsilon}_x \le T_1 + T_2)\right) \ge -(V(\partial G) + \eta).$$

□

Lemma 6.6. *Let $N \subseteq \partial G$ be closed and let $0 < \nu < V(N)$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$, such that for any $\varphi \in C([0,T];E)$, with $|\varphi(0)| < \rho$ and $I_{0,T}(\varphi) \leq \nu$, it holds that*

$$\inf_{t\in[0,T]} \mathrm{dist}_E(\varphi(t), N) > |\varphi(0)|_E.$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction there exist sequences $\{T_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\{x_n\} \subset E$, $\{\psi_n\} \subset L^2([0,T_n];H)$, such that

$$\lim_{n\to 0} |x_n|_E = 0, \ \mathrm{dist}_E(X^{\psi_n}_{x_n}(T_n), N) \leq |x_n|_E, \text{ and } I_{0,T_n}(X^{\psi_n}_{x_n}) \leq \frac{1}{2}|\psi_n|^2_{L^2([0,T_n];H)} \leq \nu.$$

Then we define

$$\varphi_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq -T_n \\ X^{\psi_n}_0(t+T_n) & \text{if } -T_n < t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi_n) = I_{0,T_n}(X^{\psi_n}_0) \leq \nu.$$

By Theorem 4.7, $I_{-\infty,0}$ has compact level sets. Therefore, because $I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi_n) \leq \nu$ for all n, there exists a subsequence of $\{\varphi_n\}$ (which we relabel as $\{\varphi_n\}$) that converges to a limit φ, with $I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) \leq \nu$.

We also notice that by (4.15),

$$\mathrm{dist}_E(\varphi_n(0), N) \leq |X^{\psi_n}_{x_n}(T_n) - X^{\psi_n}_0(T_n)|_E + \mathrm{dist}_E(X^{\psi_n}_{x_n}(T_n), N) \leq 2|x_n|_E$$

Therefore, because N is closed and $|x_n|_E \to 0$, it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n(0) = \varphi(0) \in N$. This is a contradiction because $V(N) \leq I_{-\infty,0}(\varphi) \leq \nu < V(N)$. □

Let

$$\Gamma_\rho = \{x \in E : |x|_E = 2\rho\},$$

$$\gamma_\rho = \{x \in E : |x|_E \leq \rho\}, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\text{and } \tau^\epsilon_{1,x} = \inf\{t > 0 : X^\epsilon_x(t) \in \gamma_\rho \cup \partial G\}.$$

Lemma 6.7. *For any $\rho > 0$, such that $\gamma_\rho \subset G$,*

$$\limsup_{t\to+\infty} \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \geq t\right)\right) = -\infty. \tag{6.9}$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.1, there exists $T > 0$ such that for any $x \in G$, $|X^0_x(T)|_E \in \gamma_{\rho/4}$. This means that if X^ψ_x is a controlled trajectory with the property that $X^\psi_x(t) \in G \setminus \gamma_{\rho/2}$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, then,

$$\frac{\rho}{4} \leq \left|X^\psi_x - X^0_x\right|_{C([0,T];E)}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, and the fact that L is a bounded operator, there must exist some $c := c\left(\sup_{x\in G}|x|_E, \frac{\rho}{4}\right) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\rho}{4} \leq \left|X^\psi_x - X^0_x\right|_{C([0,T];E)} \Longrightarrow c \leq \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\int_0^t S(t-s)Q\psi(s)ds\right|_E \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2((0,+\infty);H):E)}|\psi|_{L^2([0,T];E)}.$$

From these observations, it follows that if $X_x^\psi(t) \in G \setminus \gamma_{\rho/2}$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, then

$$I_{0,T}(X_x^\psi) = \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} \geq \frac{c^2}{2\|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2((0,+\infty);H):E)}} := a > 0.$$

Another way to say this is

$$K^x_{0,T}(a) \subseteq \{\varphi \in C([0,T];E) : \varphi(t) \notin G \setminus \gamma_{\rho/2} \text{ for some } t \in [0,T]\}$$

where $K^x_{0,T}$ is the level set defined by (4.8). Then, if φ is a trajectory such that $\varphi(t) \in G \setminus \gamma_\rho$ for all $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\text{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}\left(\varphi, K_{0,T}\left(a\right)\right) > \frac{\rho}{2}.$$

Because the event

$$\{\tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \geq T\} \subseteq \{X^\epsilon_x(t) \in G \setminus \gamma_\rho, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T]\} \subseteq \left\{\text{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}\left(X^\epsilon_x, K^x_{0,T}\left(a\right)\right) > \frac{\rho}{2}\right\},$$

by the large deviations principle (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \geq T\right)\right)\\ &\leq \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\text{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}\left(X^\epsilon_x, K_{0,T}\left(a\right)\right) > \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right) \leq -a.\end{aligned}$$

By the Markov property, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \geq kT\right) \leq \left(\sup_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \geq T\right)\right)^k$$

and therefore,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \geq Tk\right)\right) \leq -ka.$$

Our result follows because we can choose k to be arbitrarily large. □

Lemma 6.8. *Let $N \subseteq \partial G$ be closed. Then,*

$$\limsup_{\rho\to 0} \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(X^\epsilon_x(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x}) \in N\right)\right) \leq -V(N). \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. Let $\nu_0 < V(N)$. Let ρ_0 be the radius from Lemma 6.6 corresponding to ν_0, and choose $\rho < \frac{\rho_0}{2}$. Then, if $\varphi \in C([0,T];E)$ with $\varphi(0) \in \Gamma_\rho$ and $I_{0,T}(\varphi) \leq \nu_0$, it follows from Lemma 6.6 that

$$\inf_{t\in[0,T]} \text{dist}_E(\varphi(t), N) > |\varphi(0)|_E = 2\rho.$$

Therefore, for any $T > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{X^\epsilon_x(\tau^\epsilon_{1,x}) \in N, \tau^\epsilon_{1,x} \leq T\right\} \subset \{X^\epsilon_x(t) \in N \text{ for some } t \leq T\}\\ &\subset \left\{\text{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(X^\epsilon_x, K^x_{0,T}(\nu_0)) > 2\rho\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By the large deviations principle (4.10), for any $T > 0$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N, \tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \le T \right) \right) \\
&\le \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{dist}_{C([0,T];E)} \left(X_x^\epsilon, K_{0,T}^x(\nu_0) \right) > 2\rho \right) \right) \\
&\le -\nu_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 6.7, we can find T large enough so that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \ge T \right) \right) \le -\nu_0.$$

Then we observe that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N \right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N, \tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \le T \right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \ge T \right)$$

and therefore,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N \right) \right) \le -\nu_0.$$

The result follows because $\nu_0 < V(N)$ was arbitrary.

□

Lemma 6.9. *For any $\rho > 0$ such that $\gamma_\rho \subset G$, and any $x \in G$,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in \gamma_\rho \right) = 1. \tag{6.11}$$

Proof. Fix $x \in G$. Let $\rho_0 < \min\{\frac{\rho}{2}, \inf_{t>0} \mathrm{dist}_E(X_x^0(t), \bar{G}^c)\}$, where X_x^0 be the unperturbed trajectory starting at $x \in G$. Because $X_x^0 \to 0$, we can find $T > 0$ such that $|X_x^0(T)|_E < \frac{\rho}{2}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in \gamma_\rho \right) \ge \mathbb{P}\left(\left| X_x^\epsilon - X_x^0 \right|_{C([0,T];E)} < \rho_0 \right) \to 1.$$

□

Lemma 6.10. *For fixed $\rho > 0$,*

$$\lim_{T\to 0} \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x\in\gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\textit{There exists } t \in [0,T], X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \Gamma_\rho \right) \right) = -\infty.$$

Proof. Since $|X_x^0(t)|_E \le |x|_E$ for all $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} \sup_{x\in\gamma_\rho} |X_x^0(t)|_E \le \rho.$$

We define

$$\Phi_T := \left\{ \varphi \in C([0,T];E) : \varphi(0) \in \gamma_\rho, \text{ and there exists } t \in [0,T] \text{ such that } |\varphi(t)|_E = \frac{3\rho}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$a(T) := \inf_{\varphi \in \Phi_T} I_{0,T}(\varphi).$$

Then, because $\Gamma_\rho = \{x \in E : |x|_E = 2\rho\}$, it follows from (4.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x \in \gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\text{ there exists } t \in [0,T] \text{ such that } X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \Gamma_\rho \right) \right) \\
&\leq \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon \log \left(\sup_{x \in \gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\text{dist}_{C([0,T];E)}(X_x^\epsilon, K_{0,T}^x(a(T))) > \frac{\rho}{2} \right) \right) \leq -a(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it remains to show that

$$\lim_{T \to 0} a(T) = +\infty. \tag{6.12}$$

Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence such that $T_n \to 0$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_n \in \gamma_\rho$ and $\psi_n \in L^2([0,T_n];H)$ such that $X_{x_n}^{\psi_n} \in \Phi_{T_n}$ and $\frac{1}{2}|\psi_n|^2_{L^2([0,T_n];H)} \leq a(T_n) + \frac{1}{n}$. If we show that $|\psi_n|_{L^2([0,T_n];H)} \to +\infty$, then (6.12) follows.

Because $X_{x_n}^{\psi_n} \in \Phi_{T_n}$,

$$\frac{3\rho}{2} \leq |X_{x_n}^{\psi_n}|_{C([0,T_n];E)} \leq |X_{x_n}^{\psi_n} - X_{x_n}^0|_{C([0,T_n];E)} + |X_{x_n}^0|_{C([0,T];E)} \leq |X_{x_n}^{\psi_n} - X_{x_n}^0|_{C([0,T_n];E)} + \rho.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\rho}{2} \leq |X_{x_n}^{\psi_n} - X_{x_n}^0|_{C([0,T_n];E)}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, there must exist some $c > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\frac{\rho}{2} \leq |X_{x_n}^{\psi_n} - X_{x_n}^0|_{C([0,T_n];E)} \Longrightarrow c \leq \sup_{t \leq T_n} \left| \int_0^t S(t-s) Q \psi_n(s) ds \right|_E \leq \|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t];H),E)} |\psi_n|_{L^2([0,t];H)}.$$

But by Corollary 3.10, $\|L_t\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2([0,t];H),E)} \to 0$. Therefore we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} |\psi_n|_{L^2([0,T_n];H)} = +\infty$$

and (6.12) follows. □

6.2 Proof of Theorem 6.3

Proof. The following proofs are based on the arguments used in [10]. For completeness, they are included below.

Upper Bound

By the Markov property, for fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \geq kT\right) \leq \left(\sup_{x \in G} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \geq T\right) \right)^k.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau_x^\epsilon) \le T\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \ge kT\right) \le T\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sup_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \ge T\right)\right)^k$$
$$\le \frac{T}{1-\left(\sup_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \ge T\right)\right)} \le \frac{T}{\inf_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon < T\right)}.$$

Fix $\eta > 0$. By Lemma 6.5, we can find a $T > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\left(\inf_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon < T\right)\right) > -\left(V(\partial G) + \frac{\eta}{2}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\mathbb{E}\tau_x^\epsilon \le \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\left(\frac{T}{\inf_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon < T\right)}\right) \le \left(V(\partial G) + \eta\right)$$

and because $\eta > 0$ was arbitrary, the upper bound of (6.3) follows. The upper bound of (6.4) follows by a straightforward application of the Chebyshev inequality.

Lower Bound

Let $\gamma_\rho = \{x \in E : |x|_E \le \rho\}$ and $\Gamma_\rho = \{x \in E : |x|_E = 2\rho\}$. Define the stopping times

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon &= \inf\{t > 0 : X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \gamma_\rho \cup \partial G\} \\
\sigma_{n+1,x}^\epsilon &= \inf\{t > \tau_{n,x}^\epsilon : X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \Gamma_\rho\} \\
\tau_{n+1,x}^\epsilon &= \inf\{t > \sigma_{n+1,x}^\epsilon : X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \gamma_\rho \cup \partial G\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Let $\eta > 0$. Using Lemma 6.8, find $\rho > 0$ small enough so that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho}\mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in \partial G\right)\right) < -V(\partial G) + \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Notice that for $m \ge 2$, by the Markov property,

$$\sup_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon = \tau_{m,x}^\epsilon\right) \le \left(\sup_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^\epsilon \ne \tau_{k,x}^\epsilon, 1 \le k \le m-1\right)\right)\left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \in \partial G\right)\right)$$

$$\le \sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \in \partial G\right).$$

Next, using Lemma 6.10, we find $T_0 > 0$ small enough so that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\left(\sup_{x\in\gamma_\rho}\mathbb{P}\left(\text{There exists } t \in [0,T_0], X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \Gamma_\rho\right)\right) \le -V(\partial G).$$

A consequence of this is that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\sup_{x\in G}\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{n+1,x}^\epsilon - \tau_{n,x}^\epsilon \le T_0\right) \le -V(\partial G).$$

In [10], they observe that the event $\{\tau_x^\epsilon \leq kT_0\}$ implies either that $\{\tau_x^\epsilon = \tau_{m,x}^\epsilon\}$ for some $m \leq k+1$ or that at least one of the excursion times, $\tau_{m+1,x}^\epsilon - \tau_{m,x}^\epsilon \leq T_0$. Therefore, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in G$, and small enough ϵ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \leq kT_0\right) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon = \tau_{m,x}^\epsilon\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sigma_{n+1,x}^\epsilon - \tau_{n,x}^\epsilon \leq T_0\right)\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon = \tau_{1,x}^\epsilon\right) + 2ke^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)-\frac{\eta}{2})}$$

If we set $k = \left[\frac{e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)-\eta)}}{T_0}\right] + 1$ then we see that for small enough $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \leq e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)-\eta)}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon \in \partial G\right) + \frac{4}{T_0}e^{-\frac{\eta}{2\epsilon}}.$$

We apply Lemma 6.9 to see that the above quantity converges to 0 as $\epsilon \to 0$. We have proven the lower bound for (6.4). The lower bound for (6.3) follows by a straightforward application of the Chebyshev inequality.

Exit Place

The proof of the exit place is very similar to the proof of the lower bound of the exit time. Let $N \subset \partial G$ be closed and have the property that $V(N) > V(\partial G)$. Let $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{3}(V(N) - V(\partial G))$. Using Lemma 6.8, we find ρ small enough so that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N\right)\right) \leq -\left(V(N) - \frac{\eta}{2}\right).$$

Next, using Lemma 6.10, we choose T_0 small enough so that

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log\left(\sup_{x\in\gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\text{There exists } t \in [0,T_0], X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \Gamma_\rho\right)\right) \leq -\left(V(N) - \frac{\eta}{2}\right).$$

Using the same stopping times defined in (6.13), we observe that for $x \in G$, for k to be chosen later, and for small enough ϵ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_x^\epsilon) \in N\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon > \tau_{k,x}^\epsilon\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon \geq \tau_{x,m}^\epsilon\right) \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{m,x}^\epsilon) \in N | \tau_x^\epsilon \geq \tau_{m,x}^\epsilon\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon > (k-1)T_0\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{k,x}^\epsilon \leq (k-1)T_0\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N\right) + \sum_{m=2}^{k} \sup_{y\in\Gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(X_y^\epsilon(\tau_{1,y}^\epsilon) \in N\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon > (k-1)T_0\right) + 2\mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N\right) + 2ke^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(N)-\eta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we used the fact that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{k,x}^\epsilon \leq (k-1)T_0\right) \leq \sum_{m=2}^{k} \sup_{x\in\gamma_\rho} \mathbb{P}\left(\text{There exists } t \in [0,T_0], X_x^\epsilon(t) \in \Gamma_\rho\right).$$

This is because if $\tau_{k,x}^\epsilon \leq kT_0$, then at least one of $\tau_{m,x}^\epsilon - \tau_{m-1,x}^\epsilon$, $m = 2..k$ must be less than T_0 By (6.3) and Chebyshev inequality, for small enough ϵ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_x^\epsilon > (k-1)T_0\right) \leq \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)+\eta)}}{(k-1)T_0}.$$

Then, we choose $k = [e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)+2\eta)}]$. Because $V(N) - V(\partial G) > 3\eta$,

$$2ke^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(N)-\eta)} \leq 2e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(V(\partial G)-V(N)+3\eta)} \to 0$$

and we are left with

$$\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_x^\epsilon) \in N\right) \leq 2 \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N\right).$$

Finally, by Lemma 6.9,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathbb{P}\left(X_x^\epsilon(\tau_{1,x}^\epsilon) \in N\right) = 0$$

and our result follows. □

References

[1] Z. Brzeźniak, S. Cerrai, M. Freidlin, *Quasipotential and exit time for 2d stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by space time white noise*, Probability and Related Fields (2014), pp. 1–55.

[2] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis, V. Maroulas, *Large deviations for infinite dimensional stochastic dynamical systems*, The Annals of Probability 36 (2008), no. 4, pp. 1390–1420.

[3] S. Cerrai, M. Freidlin, *Approximation of quasi-potentials and exit problems for multi-dimensional RDEs with noise*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 363 (2011), no. 7, pp. 3853–3892.

[4] S. Cerrai, M. Röckner, *Large deviations for invariant measures of stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probability and Statistics 41 (2005), pp. 69–105.

[5] S. Cerrai, M. Röckner, *Large deviations for stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term*, Annals of Probability 32 (2004), pp. 1100–1139.

[6] S. Cerrai, M. Salins, *Smoluchowski-Kramers approximation and large deviations for infinite dimensional non-gradient systems with applications to the exit problem*, to appear in Annals of Probability, arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.5745 (2014).

[7] F. Chenal, M. Millet, *Uniform large deviations for parabolic SPDEs and their applications*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications 72 (1997), no. 2, pp. 161–186.

[8] J. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, vol. 96, Springer Science & Business Media 1990.

[9] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[10] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni, *Large deviations techniques and applications*, vol. 38, Springer, 2010.

[11] M. Freidlin, *Random perturbations of reaction-diffusion equations: the quasideterministic approximation*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 305 (1988), pp. 665–697.

[12] M. Freidlin, A. Wentzell, *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*, vol. 260, Springer, 2012.

[13] E. Gautier, *Exit from a basin of attraction for stochastic weakly damped nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Annals of Probability 36 (2008), no. 3, pp. 896–930.

[14] J. Zabczyk, *Stable dynamical systems under small perturbations*, Jounrla of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 125 (1987), no. 2, pp. 568–588.